

Intuitionistic Fuzzy a-Ideals of BCI-Algebras with Interval Valued Membership & Non Membership Functions

C.Ragavan¹, J.SatishKumar² and M.Balamurugan³

Asst Prof. Department of Mathematics, Sri Vidya Mandir Arts & Science College, Uthangarai, T.N. India

Abstract: The purpose of this paper is to define the notion of an interval valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy a-ideal (briefly, an i-v IF a-ideal) of a BCI – algebra. Necessary and sufficient conditions for an i-v Intuitionistic Fuzzy a-ideal are stated. Cartesian product of i-v Fuzzy ideals are discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

The notion of BCK-algebras was proposed by Imai and Iseki in 1996. In the same year, Iseki [6] introduced the notion of a BCI-algebra which is a generalization of a BCK-algebra. Since then numerous mathematical papers have been written investigating the algebraic properties of the BCK/BCI-algebras and their relationship with other universal structures including lattices and Boolean algebras. Fuzzy sets were initiated by Zadeh[10]. In [9], Zadeh made an extension of the concept of a Fuzzy set by an interval-valued fuzzy set. This interval-valued fuzzy set is referred to as an i-v fuzzy set. In Zadeh also constructed a method of approximate inference using his i-v fuzzy sets. In Birwa's defined interval valued fuzzy subgroups of Rosenfeld's nature, and investigated some elementary properties. The idea of "intuitionistic fuzzy set" was first published by Atanassov as a generalization of notion of fuzzy sets. After that many researchers considers the Fuzzifications of ideal and sub algebras in BCK/BCI-algebras. In this paper, using the notion of interval valued fuzzy set, we introduce the concept of an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy BCI-algebra of a BCI-algebra, and study some of their properties. Using an i-v level set of i-v intuitionistic fuzzy set, we state a characterization of an intuitionistic fuzzy a-ideal of BCI-algebra. We prove that every intuitionistic fuzzy a-ideal of a BCI-algebra X can be realized as an i-v level a-ideal of an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy a-ideal of X. in connection with the notion of homomorphism, we study how the images and inverse images of i-v intuitionistic fuzzy a-ideal become i-v intuitionistic fussy a-ideal.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Let us recall that an algebra $(X, *, 0)$ of type (2,0) is called a BCI-algebra if it satisfies the following conditions: 1. $((x*y)*(x*z))*(z*y)=0$, 2. $(x*(x*y))*y=0$, 3. $x*x=0$, 4. $x*y=0$ and $y*x=0$ imply $x=y$, for all $x, y, z \in X$. In a BCI-algebra, we can define a partial ordering " \leq " by $x \leq y$ if and only if $x*y=0$. in a BCI-algebra X, the set $M = \{x \in X / 0*x=0\}$ is a sub algebra and is called the BCK-part of X. A BCI-algebra X is called proper if $X-M \neq \emptyset$. otherwise it is improper. Moreover, in a BCI-algebra the following conditions hold:

1. $(x*y)*z = (x*z)*y$, 2. $x*0=0$, 3. $x \leq y$ imply $x*z \leq y*z$ and $z*y \leq z*x$, 4. $0*(x*y) = (0*x)*(0*y)$,
5. $0*(x*y) = (0*x)*(0*y)$, 6. $0*(0*(x*y)) = 0*(y*x)$, 7. $(x*z)*(y*z) \leq x*y$

An intuitionistic fuzzy set A in a non-empty set X is an object having the form $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle / x \in X \}$, Where the functions $\mu_A : X \rightarrow [0,1]$ and $\nu_A : X \rightarrow [0,1]$ denote the degree of the membership and the degree of non membership of each element $x \in X$ to the set A respectively, and $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \leq 1$ for all $x \in X$. Such defined objects are studied by many authors and have many interesting applications not only in the mathematics. For the sake of simplicity, we shall use the symbol $A = [\mu_A, \nu_A]$ for the intuitionistic fuzzy set $A = \{ [\mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)] / x \in X \}$.

Definition 2.1: A non empty subset I of X is called an ideal of X if it satisfies: 1. $0 \in I$, 2. $x*y \in I$ and $y \in I \Rightarrow x \in I$.

Definition 2.2: A fuzzy subset μ of a BCI-algebra X is called a fuzzy ideal of X if it satisfies: 1. $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$, 2. $\mu(x) \geq \min \{ \mu(x*y), \mu(y) \}$, for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.3: A non empty subset I of X is called a- ideal of X if it satisfies: 1. $0 \in I$. 2. $(x*z)*(0*y) \in I$ and $y \in I$ imply $x*z \in I$. Putting $z=0$ in (2) then we see that every a- ideal is an ideal.

Definition 2.4: A fuzzy set μ in a BCI-algebra X is called an fuzzy a- ideal of X if 1. $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$, 2. $\mu(y*x) \geq \min \{ \mu((x*z)*(0*y)), \mu(z) \}$.

Definition 2.5: Let A and B be two fuzzy ideal of BCI algebra X. The fuzzy set $A \cap B$ membership function

$\mu_{A \cap B}$ is defined by $\mu_{A \cap B}(x) = \min \{ \mu_A(x), \mu_B(x) \}, x \in X$.

Definition 2.6: Let A and B be two fuzzy ideal of BCI algebra X. The fuzzy set $A \cup B$ with membership function $\mu_{A \cup B}$ is defined by $\mu_{A \cup B}(x) = \max\{\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)\}, \forall x \in X$.

Definition 2.7: Let A and B be two fuzzy ideal of BCI algebra X with membership function and respectively. A is contained in B if $\mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x), \forall x \in X$

Definition 2.9: An IFS $A = \langle X, \mu_A, \nu_A \rangle$ in a BCI-algebra X is called an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X if it satisfies: (F1) $\mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(x) \& \nu_A(0) \geq \nu_A(x)$, (F2) $\mu_A(x) \geq \min\{\mu_A(x*y), \mu_A(y)\}$, (F3) $\nu_A(x) \leq \max\{\nu_A(x*y), \nu_A(y)\}$, for all x,y X.

Definition 2.10: An intuitionistic fuzzy set $A = \langle \mu_A, \nu_A \rangle$ of a BCI-algebra X is called an intuitionistic fuzzy a-ideal if it satisfies (F1) and (F4) $\mu_A(y*x) \geq \min\{\mu_A((x*z)*(0*y)), \mu_A(z)\}$, (F5) $\nu_A(y*x) \leq \max\{\nu_A((x*z)*(0*y)), \nu_A(z)\}$, for all x,y,z X.

An interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy set A defined on X is given by $A = \{(x, [\mu(x), \nu(x)])\}$

μ, ν are two membership functions and μ, ν are two non-membership functions X such that $\mu \leq \nu \& \nu \geq \mu, \forall x \in X$. Let $\mu_A(x) = [\mu, \nu] \& \nu(x) = [\nu, \mu], \forall x \in X$ and let $D[0,1]$ denote the family of all closed

subintervals of $[0,1]$. If $\mu(x) = \mu(x) = c, 0 \leq c \leq 1$ and if $\nu(x) = \nu(x) = k, 0 \leq k \leq 1$, then we have $\mu_A(x) = [c,c] \& \nu_A(x) = [k,k]$ which we also assume, for the sake of convenience, to belong to $D[0,1]$. thus $\mu_A(x) \& \nu_A(x) \in D[0,1], \forall x \in X$, and therefore the i-v IFS a is given by $A = \{(x, [\mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)])\}, \forall x \in X$, where $\mu_A(x): X \rightarrow D[0,1]$. Now let us define what is known as refined minimum, refined maximum of two elements in $D[0,1]$. we also define the symbols " \leq ", " \geq " and " $=$ " in the case of two elements in $D[0,1]$. Consider two elements $D_1: [a_1, b_1]$ and $D_2: [a_2, b_2] \in D[0,1]$. Then $\text{rmin}(D_1, D_2) = [\min\{a_1, a_2\}, \min\{b_1, b_2\}]$, $\text{rmax}(D_1, D_2) = [\max\{a_1, a_2\}, \max\{b_1, b_2\}]$
 $D_1 \geq D_2 \Leftrightarrow a_1 \geq a_2, b_1 \geq b_2; D_1 \leq D_2 \Leftrightarrow a_1 \leq a_2, b_1 \leq b_2$ and $D_1 = D_2$.

III. INTERVAL-VALUED INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY A-IDEALS OF BCI-ALGEBRAS

Definition 3.1: An interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy set A in BCI-algebra X is called an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy a-ideal of X if it satisfies (FI₁) $\mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(x), \nu_A(0) \leq \nu_A(x)$, (FI₂) $\mu_A(y*x) \geq r \min\{\mu_A((x*z)*(0*y)), \mu_A(z)\}$, (FI₃) $\nu_A(y*x) \leq r \max\{\nu_A((x*z)*(0*y)), \nu_A(z)\}$.

Theorem 3.2 Let A be an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy a-ideal of X. if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_A(x_n) = [1,1], \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_A(x_n) = [0,0]$ then $\mu_A(0) = [1,1]$ and $\nu_A(0) = [0,0]$.

Proof: Since $\mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(x)$ and $\nu_A(0) \leq \nu_A(x)$ for all x X, we have $\mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(x_n)$ and $\nu_A(0) \leq \nu_A(x_n)$, for every positive integer n. note that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_A(x_n) = [1,1] \geq \mu_A(x) \geq \mu_A(0) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_A(x_n) = [1,1]$.

Hence $\mu_A(0) = [1,1]$ and $\nu_A(0) = [0,0]$.

Lemma 3.3: An i-v intuitionistic fuzzy set $A = [\mu, \nu]$ in X is an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy a-ideal of X if and only if μ, ν are intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of X.

Proof: Since $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x); \nu(0) \leq \nu(x)$ and $\nu(0) \leq \nu(x)$, therefore $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x), \nu(0) \leq \nu(x)$. Suppose that μ, ν are intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X. let x,y X, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x), \nu(x) &\geq [\min\{\mu(x*y), \mu(y)\}, \min\{\nu(x*y), \nu(y)\}] \\ &= r \min\{\mu_A(x*y), \mu_A(y)\} \text{ and } \\ &\quad \nu(x), \nu(y) \leq r \max\{\nu_A(x*y), \nu_A(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

$= r \max\{\nu_A(x*y), \nu_A(y)\}$. Hence A is an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X.

Conversely, assume that A is an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X. for any x,y X, we have

$$= [\min\{\mu(x*y), \mu(y)\}, \min\{\mu(x*y), \mu(y)\}]$$

$$= [\max\{\nu(x*y), \nu(y)\}, \min\{\nu(x*y), \nu(y)\}]$$

It follows that $\mu(x) \geq \min\{\mu(x*y), \mu(y)\}, \nu(x) \leq \max\{\nu(x*y), \nu(y)\}$

And $\mu(x) \geq \min\{\mu(x*y), \mu(y)\}$,

Hence μ, ν are intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of X.

Theorem 3.4. Every i-v intuitionistic fuzzy a-ideal of a BCI-algebra X is an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy ideal.

Definition 3.5: An i-v intuitionistic fuzzy set A in X is called an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy BCI-sub algebra of X if $\mu_A(x*y) \geq r \min \{ \mu_A(x), \mu_A(y) \}$ and $\nu_A(x*y) \leq \{ \nu_A(x), \nu_B(y) \}$, for all x,y X.

Proof: Let $A = [\mu_A, \mu_B, \nu_A, \nu_B]$ be an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy a-ideal of X, where μ_A, μ_B and ν_A, ν_B are intuitionistic fuzzy a-ideal of X. thus μ_A, μ_B and ν_A, ν_B are intuitionistic fuzzy a-ideals of X. hence by lemma 3.3, A is i-v intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X.

Theorem 3.6: Every i-v intuitionistic fuzzy a-ideal of a BCI-algebra X is an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy sub algebra of X.

Proof: Let $A = [\mu_A, \mu_B, \nu_A, \nu_B]$ be an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy a-ideal of X, where μ_A, μ_B , and ν_A, ν_B are intuitionistic fuzzy a-ideal of BCI-algebra X. thus μ_A, μ_B , and ν_A, ν_B are intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebra of X. Hence, A is i-v intuitionistic fuzzy sub algebra of X.

IV. CARTESIAN PRODUCT OF I-V INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY A-IDEALS

Definition 4.1 An intuitionistic fuzzy relation A on any set a is a intuitionistic fuzzy subset A with a membership function $\Omega_A: X \times X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and non membership function $\Psi_A: X \times X \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

Lemma 4.2 Let μ_A and μ_B be two membership functions and ν_A and ν_B be two non membership functions of each x X to the i-v subsets A and B, respectively. Then $\mu_A \times \mu_B$ is membership function and $\nu_A \times \nu_B$ is non membership function of each element (x,y) X X to the set A x B and defined by $(\mu_A \times \mu_B)(x,y) = r \min \{ \mu_A(x), \mu_B(y) \}$ and $(\nu_A \times \nu_B)(x,y) = r \max \{ \nu_A(x), \nu_B(y) \}$.

Definition 4.3 Let $A = [\mu_A, \mu_B, \nu_A, \nu_B]$ and $B = [\mu_C, \mu_D, \nu_C, \nu_D]$ be two i-v intuitionistic fuzzy subsets in a set X. the Cartesian product of A x B is defined by $A \times B = \{ ((x,y), (\mu_A \times \mu_B), (\nu_A \times \nu_B)); \forall x,y X \times X \}$ Where $A \times B: X \times X \rightarrow D[0,1]$.

Theorem 4.4. Let $A = [\mu_A, \mu_B, \nu_A, \nu_B]$ and $B = [\mu_C, \mu_D, \nu_C, \nu_D]$ be two i-v intuitionistic fuzzy subsets in a set X, then $A \times B$ is an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy a-ideal of X x X.

Proof: Let (x,y) X x X, then by definition

$$(\mu_A \times \mu_B)(0,0) = r \min \{ \mu_A(0), \mu_B(0) \} = r \min \{ [\mu_A(0), \mu_B(0)], [\mu_C(0), \mu_D(0)] \}$$

$$= [\min \{ \mu_A(0), \mu_B(0) \}, \min \{ \mu_C(0), \mu_D(0) \}]$$

$$\geq [\min \{ \mu_A(x), \mu_B(y) \}, \min \{ \mu_C(x), \mu_D(y) \}]$$

$$= r \min \{ [\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)], [\mu_C(y), \mu_D(y)] \}$$

$$= r \min \{ \mu_A(x), \mu_B(y) \} = (\mu_A \times \mu_B)(x,y)$$

And $(\nu_A \times \nu_B)(0,0) = r \max \{ \nu_A(0), \nu_B(0) \}$

$$= r \max \{ [\nu_A(0), \nu_B(0)], [\nu_C(0), \nu_D(0)] \}$$

$$= [\max \{ \nu_A(0), \nu_B(0) \}, \max \{ \nu_C(0), \nu_D(0) \}] \leq [\max \{ \nu_A(x), \nu_B(y) \}, \max \{ \nu_C(x), \nu_D(y) \}]$$

$$= r \max \{ [\nu_A(x), \nu_B(x)], [\nu_C(y), \nu_D(y)] \}$$

$$= r \max \{ \nu_A(x), \nu_B(y) \} = (\nu_A \times \nu_B)(x,y)$$

Therefore (FI₂) holds. Now, for all x,y,z X, we have

$$(\mu_A \times \mu_B)((y,y)^*(x,x)) = (\mu_A \times \mu_B)(y^*x, y^*x) = r \min \{ \mu_A(y^*x), \mu_B(y^*x) \}$$

$$\geq r \min \{ r \min \{ \mu_A((x^1 * z^1)^*(0^*y)), \mu_A(z) \}, r \min \{ \mu_A((x^1 * z^1)^*(0^*y^1)), \mu_A(z^1) \} \}$$

$$= r \min \{ \{ \min \{ \mu_A^L((x^1 * z^1)^*(0^*y)), \mu_A^L(z) \}, \min \{ \mu_A^U((x^1 * z^1)^*(0^*y)), \mu_A^U(z) \} \},$$

$$\{ \min \{ \mu_B^L((x^1 * z^1)^*(0^*y^1)), \mu_B^L(z^1) \}, \min \{ \mu_B^U((x^1 * z^1)^*(0^*y^1)), \mu_B^U(z^1) \} \}$$

$$= \{ \min \{ \min \{ \mu_A^L((x^1 * z^1)^*(0^*y)), \mu_B^L((x^1 * z^1)^*(0^*y^1)) \}, \min \{ \mu_A^L(z), \mu_B^L(z^1) \} \},$$

$$\min \{ \min \{ \mu_A^U((x^1 * z^1)^*(0^*y)), \mu_B^U((x^1 * z^1)^*(0^*y^1)) \}, \min \{ \mu_A^U(z), \mu_B^U(z^1) \} \}$$

$$= r \min \{ (\mu_A \times \mu_B)((x^1 * z^1)^*(0^*y)), ((x^1 * z^1)^*(0^*y^1)), (\mu_A \times \mu_B)(z, z^1) \}$$

Also, $(\nu_A \times \nu_B)((y,y)^*(x,x)) = (\nu_A \times \nu_B)(y^*x, y^*x) = r \max \{ \nu_A(y^*x), \nu_B(y^*x) \}$

$$\leq r \max \{ r \max \{ \nu_A((x^1 * z^1)^*(0^*y)), \nu_A(z) \}, r \max \{ \nu_A((x^1 * z^1)^*(0^*y^1)), \nu_A(z^1) \} \}$$

$$= r \max \{ \{ \max \{ \nu_A^L((x^1 * z^1)^*(0^*y)), \nu_A^L(z) \}, \max \{ \nu_A^U((x^1 * z^1)^*(0^*y)), \nu_A^U(z) \} \},$$

$$\{ \max \{ \nu_B^L((x^1 * z^1)^*(0^*y^1)), \nu_B^L(z^1) \}, \max \{ \nu_B^U((x^1 * z^1)^*(0^*y^1)), \nu_B^U(z^1) \} \}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \{ \max \{ \max \{ v^L_A ((x * z) * (0 * y)), v^L_B ((x^1 * z^1) * (0 * y^1)) \}, \max \{ v^L_A(z), v^L_B(z^1) \} \}, \\
 &\quad \max \{ \max \{ v^U_A ((x * z) * (0 * y)), v^U_B ((x^1 * z^1) * (0 * y^1)) \}, \max \{ v^U_A(z), v^U_B(z^1) \} \} \\
 &= r \max \{ (v_A \times v_B) \overline{((x * z) * (0 * y))}, ((x^1 * z^1) * (0 * y^1)), (v_A \times v_B)(z, z^1) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $A \times B$ is an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy a-ideal of $X \times X$

Definition 4.5: Let μ_B, ν_B respectively, be an i-v membership and non membership function of each element $x \in X$ to the set B . then strongest i-v intuitionistic fuzzy set relation on X , that is a membership function relation

μ_{AB} and non membership function relation ν_{AB} on ν_B and μ_{AB}, ν_{AB} whose i-v membership and non membership function, of each element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ and defined by $\mu_{AB} \overline{(x, y)} = r \min \{ \mu_B(x), \mu_B(y) \} \& \nu_{AB} \overline{(x, y)} = r \max \{ \nu_B(x), \nu_B(y) \}$

Definition 4.6: Let $B = [\mu, \nu]$ be an i-v subset in a set X , then the strongest i-v intuitionistic fuzzy

relation on X that is a i-v A on B is A_B and defined by, $A_B = [\mu^L, \mu^U, \nu^L, \nu^U]$.

Theorem 4.7: Let $B = [\mu^L, \mu^U, \nu^L, \nu^U]$ be an i-v subset in a set X and $A_B = [\mu^L, \mu^U, \nu^L, \nu^U]$ be the strongest i-v intuitionistic fuzzy relation on X . then B is an i-v intuitionistic a-ideal of X if and only if A_B is an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy a-ideal of $X \times X$.

Proof: Let B be an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy a-ideal of X . then $\mu_{AB}(0, 0) = r \min \{ \mu_B(0), \mu_B(0) \} \geq r \min \{ \mu_B(x), \mu_B(y) \} = \mu_{AB}(x, y)$ and $\nu_{AB}(0, 0) = r \max \{ \nu_B(0), \nu_B(0) \} \leq r \max \{ \nu_B(x), \nu_B(y) \} = \nu_{AB}(x, y) \forall (x, y) \in X \times X$. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mu_{AB} \overline{((y_1, y_2) * (x_1, x_2))} = \mu_{AB}(y_1 * x_1, y_2 * x_2) \\
 &= r \min \{ \mu_B(y_1 * x_1), \mu_B(y_2 * x_2) \} \\
 &\geq r \min \{ r \min \{ \mu_B((x_1 * z_1) * (0 * y_1)), \mu_B(z_1) \}, r \min \{ \mu_B((x_2 * z_2) * (0 * y_2)), \mu_B(z_2) \} \} \\
 &= r \min \{ r \min \{ \mu_B((x_1 * z_1) * (0 * y_1)), \mu_B((x_2 * z_2) * (0 * y_2)) \}, r \min \{ \mu_B(z_1), \mu_B(z_2) \} \} \\
 &= r \min \{ \mu_{AB}((x_1 * z_1) * (0 * y_1), (x_2 * z_2) * (0 * y_2)), \mu_{AB}(z_1, z_2) \} \\
 &= r \min \{ \mu_{AB}(((x_1, x_2) * (z_1, z_2)) * (0 * (y_1, y_2))), \mu_{AB}(z_1, z_2) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Also, } \nu_{AB} \overline{((y_1, y_2) * (x_1, x_2))} &= \nu_{AB}(y_1 * x_1, y_2 * x_2) \\
 &= r \max \{ \nu_B(y_1 * x_1), \nu_B(y_2 * x_2) \} \\
 &\leq r \max \{ r \max \{ \nu_B((x_1 * z_1) * (0 * y_1)), \nu_B(z_1) \}, r \max \{ \nu_B((x_2 * z_2) * (0 * y_2)), \nu_B(z_2) \} \} \\
 &= r \max \{ r \max \{ \nu_B((x_1 * z_1) * (0 * y_1)), \nu_B((x_2 * z_2) * (0 * y_2)) \}, r \max \{ \nu_B(z_1), \nu_B(z_2) \} \} \\
 &= r \max \{ \nu_{AB}((x_1 * z_1) * (0 * y_1), (x_2 * z_2) * (0 * y_2)), \nu_{AB}(z_1, z_2) \} = r \\
 &\quad \max \{ \nu_{AB}(((x_1, x_2) * (z_1, z_2)) * (0 * (y_1, y_2))), \nu_{AB}(z_1, z_2) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

For all $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2), (z_1, z_2) \in X \times X$. hence A_B is an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy a-ideal of $X \times X$.

Conversely, let A_B be an i-v intuitionistic fuzzy a-ideal of $X \times X$. then for all $(x, x) \in X \times X$. we have

$r \min \{ \mu_B(0), \mu_B(0) \} = \mu_{AB}(0, 0) \geq \mu_{AB}(x, x) = r \min \{ \mu_B(x), \mu_B(x) \}$ (or) $\mu_B(0) \geq \mu_B(x)$ and $r \max \{ \nu_B(0), \nu_B(0) \} = \nu_{AB}(0, 0) \leq \nu_{AB}(x, x) = r \max \{ \nu_B(x), \nu_B(x) \}$ (or) $\nu_B(0) \leq \nu_B(x) \forall x \in X$. Now, let $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2), (z_1, z_2) \in X \times X$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 r \min \{ \mu_B(y_1 * x_1), \mu_B(y_2 * x_2) \} &= \mu_{AB}(y_1 * x_1, y_2 * x_2) \\
 &= \mu_{AB} \overline{((y_1, y_2) * (x_1, x_2))} \\
 &\geq r \min \{ \mu_{AB}(((x_1, x_2) * (z_1, z_2)) * (0 * (y_1, y_2))), \mu_{AB}(z_1, z_2) \} = r \\
 &\quad \min \{ \mu_{AB}((x_1 * z_1) * (0 * y_1), (x_2 * z_2) * (0 * y_2)), \mu_{AB}(z_1, z_2) \} \\
 &= r \min \{ r \min \{ \mu_B((x_1 * z_1) * (0 * y_1)), \mu_B(z_1) \}, r \min \{ \mu_B((x_2 * z_2) * (0 * y_2)), \mu_B(z_2) \} \} \\
 \text{Also, } r \max \{ \nu_B(y_1 * x_1), \nu_B(y_2 * x_2) \} &= \nu_{AB}(y_1 * x_1, y_2 * x_2) = \nu_{AB} \overline{((y_1, y_2) * (x_1, x_2))} \\
 &\leq r \max \{ \nu_{AB}(((x_1, x_2) * (z_1, z_2)) * (0 * (y_1, y_2))), \nu_{AB}(z_1, z_2) \} = r \\
 &\quad \max \{ \nu_{AB}((x_1 * z_1) * (0 * y_1), (x_2 * z_2) * (0 * y_2)), \nu_{AB}(z_1, z_2) \} \\
 &= r \max \{ r \max \{ \nu_B((x_1 * z_1) * (0 * y_1)), \nu_B(z_1) \}, r \max \{ \nu_B((x_2 * z_2) * (0 * y_2)), \nu_B(z_2) \} \}
 \end{aligned}$$

If $x_2 = y_2 = z_2 = 0$, then $r \min \{ \mu_B(y_1 * x_1), \mu_B(0) \} \geq r \min \{ r \min \{ \mu_B((x_1 * z_1) * (0 * y_1)), \mu_B(z_1) \}, \mu_B(0) \}$ and $r \max \{ \nu_B(y_1 * x_1), \nu_B(0) \} \geq r \max \{ r \max \{ \nu_B((x_1 * z_1) * (0 * y_1)), \nu_B(z_1) \}, \nu_B(0) \}$

$\mu_B(y_1 * x_1) \geq r \min \{ \mu_B((x_1 * z_1) * (0 * y_1)), \mu_B(z_1) \}$ and

$\nu_B(y_1 * x_1) \geq r \max \{ \nu_B((x_1 * z_1) * (0 * y_1)), \nu_B(z_1) \}$.

Therefore B is i-v intuitionistic fuzzy a-ideal of X .

Theorem 4.8: If μ_A is a i-v intuitionistic fuzzy a-ideal of BCI-algebra X , then $\mu_{A_m} \overline{}$ is also i-v intuitionistic fuzzy

a-ideal of BCI-algebra X

Proof: For all $x, y, z \in X$

1. $\underline{\mu}_A(0) \geq \underline{\mu}_A(x), \underline{\nu}_A(0) \leq \underline{\nu}_A(x), \underline{\mu}_A(x) \cdot \underline{\nu}_A(x) \geq \underline{\mu}_A(x^2), \underline{\nu}_A(x^2) \leq \underline{\nu}_A(x)$
 $\underline{\mu}_A(0) \geq \underline{\mu}_A(x), \underline{\nu}_A(0) \leq \underline{\nu}_A(x), \underline{\mu}_A(x) \cdot \underline{\nu}_A(x) \geq \underline{\mu}_A(x^2), \underline{\nu}_A(x^2) \leq \underline{\nu}_A(x) \forall x \in X$
2. $\underline{\mu}_A(y * x) \geq r \min\{\underline{\mu}_A((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\mu}_A(z)\}, \underline{\nu}_A(y * x) \leq r \max\{\underline{\nu}_A((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\nu}_A(z)\}$
 $\underline{\mu}_A(y * x) \geq r \min\{\underline{\mu}_A((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\mu}_A(z)\}, \underline{\nu}_A(y * x) \leq r \max\{\underline{\nu}_A((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\nu}_A(z)\}$
 $\underline{\mu}_{A_m}(y * x) \geq r \min\{\underline{\mu}_{A_m}((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\mu}_{A_m}(z)\}$
3. $\underline{\mu}_A(y * x) \geq r \min\{\underline{\mu}_A((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\mu}_A(z)\}, \underline{\nu}_A(y * x) \leq r \max\{\underline{\nu}_A((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\nu}_A(z)\}$
 $\underline{\mu}_A(y * x) \geq r \min\{\underline{\mu}_A((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\mu}_A(z)\}, \underline{\nu}_A(y * x) \leq r \max\{\underline{\nu}_A((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\nu}_A(z)\}$
 $\underline{\mu}_{A_m}(y * x) \geq r \min\{\underline{\mu}_{A_m}((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\mu}_{A_m}(z)\}$

Theorem 4.9: If $\underline{\mu}_A$ is a i-v intuitionistic fuzzy a-ideal of BCI-algebra X, then $\underline{\mu}_{A \cap B}$ is also a i-v intuitionistic fuzzy a-ideal of BCI-algebra X

Proof: For all x, y, z ∈ X

1. $\underline{\mu}_A(0) \geq \underline{\mu}_A(x), \underline{\nu}_A(0) \leq \underline{\nu}_A(x)$ and $\underline{\mu}_B(0) \geq \underline{\mu}_B(x), \underline{\nu}_B(0) \leq \underline{\nu}_B(x)$
 $\min\{\underline{\mu}_A(0), \underline{\mu}_B(0)\} \geq \min\{\underline{\mu}_A(x), \underline{\mu}_B(x)\}, \max\{\underline{\nu}_A(0), \underline{\nu}_B(0)\} \leq \max\{\underline{\nu}_A(x), \underline{\nu}_B(x)\}$
 $\underline{\mu}_{A \cap B}(0) \geq \underline{\mu}_{A \cap B}(x), \underline{\nu}_{A \cap B}(0) \leq \underline{\nu}_{A \cap B}(x)$
 2. $\underline{\mu}_A(y * x) \geq r \min\{\underline{\mu}_A((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\mu}_A(z)\}, \underline{\mu}_B(y * x) \geq r \min\{\underline{\mu}_B((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\mu}_B(z)\}$
 $\{\underline{\mu}_A(y * x), \underline{\mu}_B(y * x)\} \geq \{r \min\{\underline{\mu}_A((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\mu}_A(z)\}, r \min\{\underline{\mu}_B((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\mu}_B(z)\}\}$
 $\min\{\underline{\mu}_A(y * x), \underline{\mu}_B(y * x)\} \geq \min\{r \min\{\underline{\mu}_A((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\mu}_A(z)\}, r \min\{\underline{\mu}_B((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\mu}_B(z)\}\}$
 $\geq \min\{r \min\{\underline{\mu}_A((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\mu}_B((x * z)*(0 * y))\}, r \min\{\underline{\mu}_A(z), \underline{\mu}_B(z)\}\}$
 $\underline{\mu}_{A \cap B}(y * x) \geq r \min\{\underline{\mu}_{A \cap B}((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\mu}_{A \cap B}(z)\}$
 3. $\underline{\nu}_A(y * x) \leq r \max\{\underline{\nu}_A((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\nu}_A(z)\}, \underline{\nu}_B(y * x) \leq r \max\{\underline{\nu}_B((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\nu}_B(z)\}$
 $\{\underline{\nu}_A(y * x), \underline{\nu}_B(y * x)\} \leq \{r \max\{\underline{\nu}_A((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\nu}_A(z)\}, r \max\{\underline{\nu}_B((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\nu}_B(z)\}\}$
- If one is contained in the other
- $$\min\{\underline{\nu}_A(y * x), \underline{\nu}_B(y * x)\} \leq \min\{r \max\{\underline{\nu}_A((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\nu}_A(z)\}, r \max\{\underline{\nu}_B((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\nu}_B(z)\}\}$$
- $$\underline{\nu}_{A \cap B}(y * x) \leq r \max\{\min\{\underline{\nu}_A((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\nu}_B((x * z)*(0 * y))\}, \min\{\underline{\nu}_A(z), \underline{\nu}_B(z)\}\}$$
- $$\underline{\nu}_{A \cap B}(y * x) \leq r \max\{\underline{\nu}_{A \cap B}((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\nu}_{A \cap B}(z)\}$$

Theorem 4.10: If $\underline{\mu}_A$ is a i-v intuitionistic fuzzy a-ideal of BCI-algebra X, then $\underline{\mu}_{A \cup B}$ is also a i-v intuitionistic fuzzy a-ideal of BCI-algebra X.

Proof: For all x, y, z ∈ X

1. $\underline{\mu}_A(0) \geq \underline{\mu}_A(x), \underline{\nu}_A(0) \leq \underline{\nu}_A(x)$ and $\underline{\mu}_B(0) \geq \underline{\mu}_B(x), \underline{\nu}_B(0) \leq \underline{\nu}_B(x)$
 $\min\{\underline{\mu}_A(0), \underline{\mu}_B(0)\} \geq \min\{\underline{\mu}_A(x), \underline{\mu}_B(x)\}, \max\{\underline{\nu}_A(0), \underline{\nu}_B(0)\} \leq \max\{\underline{\nu}_A(x), \underline{\nu}_B(x)\}$
 $\underline{\mu}_{A \cup B}(0) \geq \underline{\mu}_{A \cup B}(x), \underline{\nu}_{A \cup B}(0) \leq \underline{\nu}_{A \cup B}(x)$
 2. $\underline{\mu}_A(y * x) \geq r \min\{\underline{\mu}_A((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\mu}_A(z)\}, \underline{\mu}_B(y * x) \geq r \min\{\underline{\mu}_B((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\mu}_B(z)\}$
 $\{\underline{\mu}_A(y * x), \underline{\mu}_B(y * x)\} \geq \{r \min\{\underline{\mu}_A((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\mu}_A(z)\}, r \min\{\underline{\mu}_B((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\mu}_B(z)\}\}$
 $\max\{\underline{\mu}_A(y * x), \underline{\mu}_B(y * x)\} \geq \max\{r \min\{\underline{\mu}_A((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\mu}_A(z)\}, r \min\{\underline{\mu}_B((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\mu}_B(z)\}\}$
 $\geq \max\{r \min\{\underline{\mu}_A((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\mu}_B((x * z)*(0 * y))\}, r \max\{\underline{\mu}_A(z), \underline{\mu}_B(z)\}\}$
- If one is contained in the other
- $$r \min\{\max\{\underline{\mu}_A((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\mu}_B((x * z)*(0 * y))\}, \max\{\underline{\mu}_A(z), \underline{\mu}_B(z)\}\}$$
- $$\underline{\mu}_{A \cup B}(y * x) \geq r \min\{\underline{\mu}_{A \cup B}((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\mu}_{A \cup B}(z)\}$$
3. $\underline{\nu}_A(y * x) \leq r \max\{\underline{\nu}_A((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\nu}_A(z)\}, \underline{\nu}_B(y * x) \leq r \max\{\underline{\nu}_B((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\nu}_B(z)\}$
 $\{\underline{\nu}_A(y * x), \underline{\nu}_B(y * x)\} \leq \{r \max\{\underline{\nu}_A((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\nu}_A(z)\}, r \max\{\underline{\nu}_B((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\nu}_B(z)\}\}$
 $\max\{\underline{\nu}_A(y * x), \underline{\nu}_B(y * x)\} \leq \max\{r \max\{\underline{\nu}_A((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\nu}_A(z)\}, r \max\{\underline{\nu}_B((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\nu}_B(z)\}\}$
 $\underline{\nu}_{A \cup B}(y * x) \leq r \max\{\max\{\underline{\nu}_A((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\nu}_B((x * z)*(0 * y))\}, \max\{\underline{\nu}_A(z), \underline{\nu}_B(z)\}\}$
 $\underline{\nu}_{A \cup B}(y * x) \leq r \max\{\underline{\nu}_{A \cup B}((x * z)*(0 * y)), \underline{\nu}_{A \cup B}(z)\}$

REFERENCES

- [1] K.T Atanassov, intuitionistic fuzzy sets and systems, 20(1986), 87-96
- [2] K.T Atanassov, intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Theory and applications, studies in fuzziness and soft computing, 35. Heidelberg: physica-verlag
- [3] R.Biswas, Rosenfeld's fuzzy subgroups with interval-valued membership functions, fuzzy sets and systems 63(1994), no.1,87-90
- [4] S.M. Hong, Y.B.Kim and G.I.Kim, fuzzy BCI-sub algebras with interval-valued membership functions, math japonica, 40(2)(1993)199-202
- [5] K.Iseki, an algebra related with a propositional calculus, proc, Japan Acad.42 (1966),26-29
- [6] H.M.Khalid, B.Ahmad, fuzzy H-ideals in BCI-algebras, fuzzy sets and systems 101(1999)153-158.
- [7] L.A.zadeh, the concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning. I, information sci,8(1975),199-249.